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Overview

1. Lessons and conclusions

2. Introduction, definitions, and methodology

1. Amhara region: from cadastre to consolidation?

2. Bahir Dar: fast, quick, but fit-for-purpose?

3. Addis Ababa: good things come in three?
Lessons and conclusions

1. **Post-2015** to influence land administration design: beware indicator blinkers

2. **Fit-for-purpose** is happening; but, define ‘purpose’, stages, and future orientation

3. Secure rights might help to **end poverty**; but, how exactly to measure?

4. Technical solutions for **food security** might not carry community support

5. Demanding public information for **good governance** is one thing, but it needs to exist first – and be up-to-date
Introduction

β the new development agenda

β a sector in transition

β the coalescence

β the yardstick
Definitions and methodology

- fit-for-purpose
- qualitative
- three studies
Amhara Region
Amhara region – from cadastre to consolidation?

- the rural difference, and a certification story
- food security and consolidation
- risks, family, houses > time and productivity
- fertilizer, resettlement > consolidation
- education programs, but, no mandate
Bahir Dar
Bahir Dar – fast, quick, but fit-for-purpose?

- small, but, fast
- Informality: direct and underlying causes
- no formalization, no cadastre (yet)
- Information: existence before access
- fit-for-purpose: demands a purpose
- from project to process
See: Alemie et al (2014)
Addis Ababa
Addis Ababa – good things come in threes?

- a young city
- repeated efforts
- poverty reduction and formalization
- improved governance and a cadastre
- 12 points…
Lessons and Conclusions

1. **Post-2015** to influence land administration design: beware indicator blinkers

2. **Fit-for-purpose** is happening; but, define ‘purpose’, stages, and future orientation

3. Secure rights might help to **end poverty**; but, how exactly to measure?

4. Technical solutions for **food security** might not carry community support

5. Demanding public information for **good governance** is one thing, but it needs to exist first – and be up-to-date
Many thanks.

Questions.